KEEVIL PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE OF KEEVIL PARISH COUNCIL VIRTUAL MEETING (by Zoom) HELD AT 7.00pm ON TUESDAY AUGUST 11th 2020

<u>Present: Cllr. Sherman (Chairman), Cllrs: Banfield, Cupis, Meatyard</u> Clerk Richard Culverhouse in attendance + 3 members of the public

There were no comments or correspondence received prior to the meeting.

1. Apologies

Cllr Wickham

2. <u>Declaration of Interest</u>

None

To consider Planning Application 20/04574/FUL – (Erection of fence at Talboys, 18 Main Street)

This was a retrospective application.

Decision:

After discussion, it was agreed that the response to planning application 20/04574/FUL from Keevil Parish Council should be:

To object the application for the following reasons:

- 1. Visual impact of fence inappropriate next to a Grade 1 listed building
- 2. Not like for like as stated on form (section 6) also incorrectly stated no trees affected
- 3. The applicant has indicated that he will be planting laurel bushes in front of fence to screen it but this is not acceptable as it would be on Highways land
- 4. It is suggested that the Conservation Officer advise what would be appropriate in that setting.

Proposed by Cllr Banfield and seconded by Cllr Meatyard. All in favour

4. To consider Planning Application 20/05687/TCA – (Removal of eucalyptus tree at 63 Martins Road)

Decision:

No objection

Proposed by Cllr Sherman and seconded by Cllr Cupis. All in favour

To consider Planning Application 20/05855/TCA – (Cutting back of trees at rear of 63-64A Martins Road)

Cllr Sherman stated that the decision was due by August 12th on the Planning Portal but the decision was made on 5th August.

Decision:

Support with conditions

It was agreed that the Parish Council should respond to the application on formal form, although Planning had already made a decision.

The condition should be that there should only be cutting back of the branches to the boundary, as allowed by common law.

Proposed by Cllr Cupis and seconded by Cllr Meatyard. All in favour.

It was agreed that a letter be written to the Planning Department expressing concern of the Parish Council that they were not given the opportunity to comment and also the public were also not given the full right of comment. The Parish Council needed an explanation.

To consider Planning Application 20/06417/TCA – (Tree at Springfield House, 11A Main Street)

Decision:

No Comment but add the Council's disappointment over losing an old native tree that was not diseased.

Proposed by Clr Sherman and seconded by Cllr Cupis. All in favour

7. To consider Planning Application 20/06248/TCA – (Fell Yew tree at Fieldhead, 20 Main Street)

Cllr Sherman had asked Cllr Banfield to look at this as application was lacking in information. Cllr Banfield reported that the tree had grown at the edge of a culvert. It was felt that it should be removed to save any future problems with culvert.

Decision:

No objection

Proposed by Cllr Sherman and seconded by Cllr Banfield. All in favour.

8. Bungalow on land behind 63-64A Martins Road

Cllr Sherman reported she had written to Enforcement at Wiltshire Council with regard to the development not being built to the passed plans. A copy of the letter had been circulated to Councillors and Jonathon Seed. David Sheridan had also written. Cllr Sherman said she would keep chasing and update the Parish Council accordingly.

9. Any other Competent Business

The Clerk reported that Government guidelines have changed and that all meeting joining instructions have to be given with the Agenda. Cllr Sherman also stated that the Parish Council would have to have a virtual meeting on September 7th as the village hall would not be open by then.

Cllr Cupis brought up an incident where a dog (off its lead) had attached a rook. He said rooks were a protected species, killing them is a criminal offence.

Cllr Meatyard also brought up the question of people straying off footpaths and keeping dogs under control.

A letter will be sent to the Keevil Magazine with regard to all this.